



AF/974 1700

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 1700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Reitz et al.
Applic No.: 09/433,202
Filed : November 4, 1999
For : PARTICLE DISPERSIONS

Group Art Unit: 1755
Examiner: M.
Marcheschi

Docket No.: N19.12-0026

77
11/25/00
B

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
BOX AF
Washington, D.C. 20231

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS
BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST
CLASS, TO THE ASSISTANT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, THIS

17 DAY OF November, 2000.
Robert D. Dardi
PATENT ATTORNEY

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on September 25, 2000, please consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-28 and 31 remain for consideration. The pending claims stand rejected. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejections based on the following comments.

Objection to the Application Papers

The Examiner objected to the application papers because a permanent copy was not provided. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the claims were easily erasable. This situation possibly was due to an inadvertent printer malfunction. Applicants believe that they included reprinted claims, as filed, with the Amendment of May 30, 2000. If these papers were inadvertently not mailed, Applicants have sent another reprinted copy of the claims and the full specification, as filed, herewith. Specifically, Applicants submit under 37 C.F.R. §1.125(a) a copy of the

RECEIVED
NOV 24 2001
MAIL ROOM

01/10
enter m
11/20